DATA MANAGEMENT, FROM ASSET DEFINITIONS TO OPERATORS NOTES

SICA ST

Professor Jerker Delsing Luleå University of Technology Sweden



HOW TO ACQUIRE THE FOR AI NECESSARY DATA

SPACE?

Professor Jerker Delsing Luleå University of Technology Sweden



Our data environmemt



OT meets IT



Complex production automation environment



From enterprise to multi stakeholder operation



Multi-stakeholder environment Value networks



AI and data

Most AI is today applied on one parameter data sets

- Our facilities have a large set of parameters for which data is collected
- Al have the potential to reduce complexity of multi modal data sets
 Providing meaningful understanding of our operations, production and products



Where are the AI data bottlenecks

Access to data?

Legally

Technically

Data quality?

Data documentation?

Output Output

Context is necessary!!

The multitude of data models developed over decades and decades to come



Access to Data from Production

Production data is currently regarded as key company assets

Production data exists with many stakeholders in production

Secure and efficient data sharing is key to many operations
 Protection for non agreed use of shared data is important
 No generally accepted solutions!!
 Early technology initiatives from IDS and GAIA-X



Data sources

Machines - time series of data

- The protocol and encoding problem
- The data model problem

Context

The asset data model problem

Humans - operators, maintenance, engineering
 The non digital data problem
 Digitalisation and understanding of human notes
 Al based recognition and natural language capturing



Protocol and data encoding problem

Translation between different protocols, and encodings e.g. HTTP, CoAP, MQTT, OPC-UA, Modbus...

e.g. JSON, XML, CBOR,



H. Derhamy, J. Eliasson and J. Delsing, "IoT Interoperability—On-Demand and Low Latency Transparent Multiprotocol Translator," in IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1754-1763, Oct. 2017.



Machine data model problem

Machine A message

{"n": "OO_temp_sensor",
 "t": 318350,
 "u": "K",
 "v": 294.05}

Machine B message

{"bn": "temp_sensor", "bt":
318350},
{"u": "Cel", "v": 20.9},
{"u": "Lon", "v": "1"},
{"u": "Lat", "v": "-1"}

Same standard Same ontology Same data Do not look the same!!

Interoperable????



Machine data model standards

Sensor data

SenML (RFC 8428) developed by OMA SensorML (OGC standard)

Addresses same type of data but are not interoperable



Asset data model standards

Asset descriptions ISO 15926 ISO 10303 (AP 223) Asset administration shell DIN "Old" asset standards, non machine readable Loosely documented "standards"

Addresses same type of asset data but are not interoperable



Data model standards and evolution

Domain standards including data models Domain does not necessary talk to each other

Standard life times

New standards are created

Standards are updated - 5-15 years

Technology life times and update and upgrade cycles Asset mechanical lifetime - 20-100 years Automation/IT HW lifetime - 10 years Automation/IT **SW lifetime - months to a few years?!**

Data model lifetime Semantics/ontologies - 5-10 years New once invented every hour



Data interoperability initiatives Production asset data interoperability initiatives Paper&Pulp, Oil&Gas



Data model interoperability

Machine learning approach

 Model of communicating cyber-physical systems (CPS) with different data representations and semantic definitions that interact in a physical environment (gray) and service-oriented architecture (white) via messages m translated by a function T^{AB}



J. Nilsson, F. Sandin and J. Delsing, "Interoperability and machine-to-machine translation model with mappings to machine learning tasks," 2019 IEEE 17th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN), Helsinki, Finland, 2019, pp. 284-289.

Data semantics translation approach



ARROWHEAD

TOOLS

Nilsson, J. (2019). System of Systems Interoperability Machine Learning Model (Licentiate dissertation). Luleå University of Technology. Retrieved from http://urn.kb.se/ resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:ltu:diva-76229

Data semantics interoperability - early results

Semantics translation results

Model	Kind	Strategy	Size	Accuracy		Error	
				Max	Mean	Min	Mean
0	non-shared	2	1-layer	0.70	0.44	0.57	4.0
1	non-shared	2	2-layer	0.73	0.38	0.50	4.9
2	non-shared	1	1-layer	0.66	0.39	0.48	6.7
3	non-shared	1	2-layer	0.74	0.34	0.71	12.0
4	shared	2	2-layer	0.70	0.34	0.54	15.0
5	shared	3	2-layer	0.75	0.41	0.43	2.7
6	shared	1	2-layer	0.69	0.33	0.53	12.0
7	supervised	_	1-layer	1.0	1.0	0.16	0.17
8	supervised	_	2-layer	1.0	0.99	0.16	0.19

TABLE I: Tested models and their results.



How to manage extraction of data for AI from process automation and industrial digitalisation?



Data generation in multi stakeholder environment

- Data on the engineering process
- Operational data
- Business data
- Lifecycle data
- Stakeholder data
- Context data
- Asset data
- Maintenance data
- Evolution data





Engineering process need to consider data capturing in multi stakeholder environment



Model supported engineering

.

Modelling of solutions - SysML Machine supported engineering Automated SW generation Data extraction supported by modelling guidance In the engineering process Operations



In conclusion

Al in production depends on data availability and accesability

Complex problems need multimodal data sets

Data interoperability is fundamental

Engineering processes need to address data generation

Operational Strategic Data Management

This research work has been funded by the European Commission, through the European H2020 research and innovation programme, ECSEL Joint Undertaking, and National Funding Authorities from 18 involved countries under the research project Arrowhead Tools with Grant Agreement no. 826452.



QUESTIONS jerker.delsing@ltu.se

SALAR



The second